arXiv:math/0209074v1 [math.GN] 6 Sep 2002

ON FINITE-DIMENSIONAL MAPS

H. MURAT TUNCALI AND VESKO VALOV

Abstract. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a perfect surjective map of metrizable spaces. It is shown that if Y is a C-space (resp., $\dim Y \leq n$ and $\dim f \leq m$), then the function space $C(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$ (resp., $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$) equipped with the source limitation topology contains a dense G_δ-set $\mathcal{H}$ such that $f \times g$ embeds X into $Y \times \mathbb{I}^\infty$ (resp., into $Y \times \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$) for every $g \in \mathcal{H}$. Some applications of this result are also given.

1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a perfect surjection of countable functional weight between paracompact spaces.*

- (i) *If $\dim f \leq n$ and $\dim Y \leq m$, then the set $\mathcal{H}$ of all maps $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ with $f \times g$ being an embedding is dense and G_δ in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ with respect to the source limitation topology.*
- (ii) *If Y is a C-space, then all maps $g\colon X \to \mathbb{I}^\infty$ such that $f \times g$ is an embedding form a dense and G_δ-subset of $C(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$ with respect to the source limitation topology.*

Here, f is of countable weight [18] if there exists a map $h\colon X \to \mathbb{I}^\infty$ such that $f \times g$ embeds X into $Y \times \mathbb{I}^\infty$. The C-space property was introduced by Haver [10] for compact metric spaces and then extended by Addis and Gresham [1] for general spaces. The source limitation topology (or the fine topology) is defined in Section 2, it is well known that this topology is stronger than the uniform convergence topology. We consider the covering dimension dim (see [6]) and $\dim f \leq n$ means that $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq n$ for every $y \in Y$.

Theorem 1.1(ii) was announced without proof in [20]. Concerning (i), Eilenberg [5] proved that $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ for $n = 0$ and compact metric spaces X and Y. Then Pasynkov [16] extended Eilenberg's result to perfect 0-dimensional maps between arbitrary metric spaces and proved the compact version of Theorem 1.1(i) in [17]. The Eilenberg theorem was also generalized by S. Bogatyi, V.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 54F45; Secondary: 55M10, 54C65.

Key words and phrases. finite-dimensional maps, extensional dimension, C-space.

The first author was partially supported by NSERC grant.

The second author was partially supported by Nipissing University Research Council Grant.

Fedorchuk and J. van Mill [2]. Recently, Pasynkov [18] extended his results from [17]. It follows from [18, Theorem 9.5] that, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1(i), the set $\mathcal{H}$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ with respect to the uniform convergence topology generated by the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$. Since the function space $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ with any reasonable topology (e.g., the uniform convergence and the fine topology) has Baire property, in many cases it is important to know that $\mathcal{H}$ is also residual (i.e., contains a dense G_δ-set) in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. We demonstrate that importance by proving the following generalization of a result due to Roberts [4] (see Proposition 4.3):

Corollary 1.2. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem* 1.1(*i*), *there exists a dense* G_δ*-set* $\mathcal{B}$ *in* $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ *with the source limitation topology such that* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$ *and every* $g \in \mathcal{B}$ *has the following property:* $\dim g(f^{-1}(y)) \cap \Pi \leq k - n - 1$ *for any* $y \in Y$ *and any rational k-plane* Π *in* $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1+m}$ *with* $0 \leq k \leq 2n$.

Another application of Theorem 1.1(i) is an improvement of the mentioned above result of Bogatyi, V. Fedorchuk and van Mill [2] (see Proposition 4.1). Let us also note that Theorem 1.1(i) extends a result of Hansen [9] about embedding of finite covers.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the main technical result, Theorem 2.1. Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3 and the final Section 4 contains some applications. All single-valued maps under discussion are continuous, and all function spaces, if not explicitely stated otherwise, are equipped with the source limitation topology.

2. ω-MAPS

For any spaces X and Y by $C(X, Y)$ we denote the set of all continuous maps from X into Y. If (Y, d) is a metric space, then the source limitation topology on $C(X, Y)$ is defined in the following way: a subset $U \subset C(X, Y)$ is open in $C(X, Y)$ with respect to the source limitation topology provided for every $g \in U$ there exists a continuous function $\alpha \colon X \to (0, \infty)$ such that $\overline{B}(g, \alpha) \subset U$. Here, $\overline{B}(g, \alpha)$ denotes the set $\{h \in C(X, Y) : d(g(x), h(x)) \leq \alpha(x) \text{ for each } x \in X\}$.

The source limitation topology is also known as the fine topology and $C(X, Y)$ with this topology has Baire property provided (Y, d) is a complete metric space [15]. Moreover, the source limitation topology on $C(X, Y)$ doesn't depend on the metric of Y when X is paracompact [11].

Throughout the paper $\mathbb{I}^k$ denotes the k-dimensional cube equipped with the Euclidean metric d_k, and D_k denotes the uniform convergence metric on $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ generated by d_k. We also agree to denote by $cov(X)$ the family of all open covers of X. In case (X, d) is a metric space, $B_\epsilon(x)$ (resp., $\overline{B}_\epsilon(x)$) stands for the open (resp., closed) ball in (X, d) with center x and radius ϵ.

It is well known that if X is a compact n-dimensional space, then for every $\omega \in cov(X)$ the set of all ω-maps $g\colon X \to \mathbb{I}^{2n+1}$ is open and dense in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1})$ (recall that $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1})$ is an ω-map if for every $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood V of $g(x)$ in $\mathbb{I}^{2n+1}$ such that $g^{-1}(V) \subset U$ for some $U \in \omega$). Next theorem, which easily implies Theorem 1.1(i), is a counterpart of the above result for n-dimensional maps.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a perfect surjection between paracompact spaces such that $\dim f \leq n$ and $\dim Y \leq m$. Then, for every $\omega \in cov(X)$, the set $\{g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}) : f \times g$ is an ω-map$\}$ is open and dense in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$.*

The proof of this theorem follows very closely the proof of Theorem 2.2 from [20]. We need few lemmas, in all these lemmas we suppose that f, X, Y are as in Theorem 2.1, $\omega \in cov(X)$ and $k = 2n + 1 + m$. We also denote by $C(X, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$ the set of all maps $h\colon X \to Y \times \mathbb{I}^k$ such that $\pi_Y \circ h = f$, where $\pi_Y\colon Y \times \mathbb{I}^k \to Y$ is the projection. For any closed $K \subset X$, $C_\omega(X|K, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$ stands for the set of all $h \in C(X, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$ with $h|K$ being an ω-map (as a map from K into $Y \times \mathbb{I}^k$) and $C_\omega(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ consists of all $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ such that $f \times g \in C_\omega(X|K, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$. In case $K = X$ we simply write $C_\omega(X, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$ (resp., $C_\omega(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$) instead of $C_\omega(X|X, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$ (resp., $C_\omega(X|X, \mathbb{I}^k)$).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $g \in C_\omega(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ for some $y \in Y$. Then there exists a neighborhood U of y in Y such that the restriction $g|f^{-1}(U)$ is an ω-map. Moreover, $g \in C_\omega(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ provided $g \in C_\omega(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ for every $y \in Y$.*

Proof. Since f is perfect, all fibers of f are compact and the map $f \times g\colon X \to Y \times \mathbb{I}^k$ is closed. These two facts imply the proof (see Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 from [20] for similar arguments). $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *For any closed $K \subset X$ the set $C_\omega(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ is open in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$.*

Proof. Let $g_0 \in C_\omega(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$. We are going to find $\alpha \in C(X, (0, \infty))$ with $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \subset C_\omega(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$. For every $y \in H = f(K)$ there exists a neighborhood U_y of y in Y such that $g_0|(f^{-1}(U_y) \cap K)$ is an ω-map. Then $\omega_1 = \{U_y : y \in H\} \cup \{Y \backslash H\}$ is an open cover of Y. Using that Y is paracompact, we can find a metric space (M, d), a surjection $p\colon Y \to M$ and $\mu \in cov(M)$ such that $p^{-1}(\mu)$ refines ω_1. Hence, every $z \in p(H)$ has a neighborhood W_z in M such that $g_0|(p \circ f)^{-1}(W_z) \cap K$ is an ω-map. The last condition implies that $h_0|K$ also is an ω-map, where $h_0 = (p \circ f) \times g_0$. The proof of next claim is similar to the proof of the Claim from [20, Lemma 2.5].

Claim. There exists a locally finite open family γ in $M \times \mathbb{I}^k$ covering $h_0(K)$ such that every $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ belongs to $C_\omega(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ provided $h|K$ is γ-close to $h_0|K$, where $h = (p \circ f) \times g$.

Let ρ be the metric on $M \times \mathbb{I}^k$ defined by $\rho(t_1, t_2) = d(z_1, z_2) + d_k(w_1, w_2)$, where $t_i = (z_i, w_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Denote by V the union of all elements of the family γ from the claim let $\alpha_1\colon K \to (0, \infty)$ be the continuous function $\alpha_1(x) = 2^{-1}\sup\{\rho(h_0(x), V\backslash W) : W \in \gamma\}$. If $h = (p \circ f) \times g$ with $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ and $\rho(h_0(x), h(x)) \leq \alpha_1(x)$ for every $x \in K$, then $h|K$ is γ-close to $h_0|K$. According to the claim, the last relation yields that $g \in C_\omega(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$. We take a continuous extension $\alpha\colon X \to (0, \infty)$ of α_1 and observe that $d_k(g_0(x), g(x)) = \rho(h_0(x), h(x))$ for every $x \in X$. Therefore, $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \subset C_\omega(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$. □

Lemma 2.4. *If $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ is equipped with the uniform convergence topology, then the set-valued map $\psi_\omega\colon Y \to 2^{C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)}$, defined by the formula $\psi_\omega(y) = C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)\backslash C_\omega(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$, has a closed graph.*

Proof. See the proof of [20, Lemma 2.6] □

Lemma 2.5. *Let K be a compact space such that $\dim K \leq n$. Then for every $\gamma \in cov(K)$ the set of all maps $g \in C(\mathbb{I}^m \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ with each $g|(\{z\} \times K)$, $z \in \mathbb{I}^n$, being a γ-map (as a map from K into $\mathbb{I}^k$) is dense in $C(\mathbb{I}^m \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ with respect to the uniform convergence topology.*

Proof. We fix $\gamma \in cov(K)$, a map $g_0\colon \mathbb{I}^m \times K \to \mathbb{I}^k$ and $\epsilon > 0$. If K is metrizable, the Pasynkov version of Theorem 1.1(i) (for compact spaces) [17] yields a map $g\colon \mathbb{I}^m \times K \to \mathbb{I}^k$ which is ϵ-close to g_0 and $\pi \times g$ embeds $\mathbb{I}^m \times K$ into $\mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^k$, where $\pi\colon \mathbb{I}^m \times K \to \mathbb{I}^m$ denotes the projection onto $\mathbb{I}^m$. Then, each $g|(\{z\} \times K)$, $z \in \mathbb{I}^n$, is a γ-map because it embeds $\{z\} \times K$ into $\mathbb{I}^k$.

If K is not metrizable we can represent K as the limit space of a σ-complete inverse system $\mathcal{S} = \{K_\beta, p_\beta^{\beta+1} : \beta \in B\}$ such that each K_β is a metrizable compactum with $\dim K_\beta \leq n$. Applying standard inverse spectra arguments (see [3]), we can find $\theta \in B$, $\gamma_1 \in cov(K_\theta)$ and $g_\theta \in C(\mathbb{I}^m \times K_\theta, \mathbb{I}^k)$ such that $g_\theta \circ (id \times p_\theta) = g_0$ and $p_\theta^{-1}(\gamma_1)$ refines γ, where $p_\theta\colon K \to K_\theta$ denotes the θth limit projection and id the identity map on $\mathbb{I}^m$. Then, by virtue of the previous case, there exists a map $g_1 \in C(\mathbb{I}^m \times K_\theta, \mathbb{I}^k)$ which is ϵ-close to g_θ and the restrictions $g_1|(\{z\} \times K_\theta)$ are γ_1-maps. It follows from our construction that $g = g_1 \circ (id \times p_\theta)$ is ϵ-close to g_0 and each $g|(\{z\} \times K)$ is an γ-map. □

Recall that a closed subset F of the metrizable apace M is said to be a Z-set in M [14], if the set $C(\mathbb{I}^\infty, M\backslash F)$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{I}^\infty, M)$ with respect to the uniform convergence topology. If, in the above definition, $\mathbb{I}^\infty$ is replaced by $\mathbb{I}^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we say that F is a Z_m-set in M.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\alpha\colon X \to (0, \infty)$ be a positive continuous function and $g_0 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$. Then $\psi_\omega(y) \cap \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ is a Z_m-set in $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ for every $y \in Y$, where $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ is considered as a subspace of $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ with the uniform convergence topology.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [20, Lemma 2.8]. All function spaces in this proof are equipped with the uniform convergence topology. By Lemma 2.4, each $\psi_\omega(y)$ is closed in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$. Hence, $\psi_\omega(y) \cap \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ is closed in $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$. We need to show that, for fixed $y \in Y$, $\delta > 0$ and a map $u\colon \mathbb{I}^m \to \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ there exists a map $v\colon \mathbb{I}^m \to \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \backslash \psi_\omega(y)$ which is δ-close to u with respect to the uniform metric D_k. To this end, observe first that u generates $h \in C(\mathbb{I}^m \times X, \mathbb{I}^k)$, $h(z, x) = u(z)(x)$, such that $d_k(h(z, x), g_0(x)) \leq \alpha(x)$ for any $(z, x) \in \mathbb{I}^m \times X$. Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact, there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lambda \sup\{\alpha(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} < \dfrac{\delta}{2}$. Define $h_1 \in C(\mathbb{I}^m \times f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ by $h_1(z, x) = (1-\lambda)h(z, x) + \lambda g_0(x)$. Then, for every $(z, x) \in \mathbb{I}^m \times f^{-1}(y)$, we have

$$(1)\quad d_k(h_1(z, x), g_0(x)) \leq (1-\lambda)\alpha(x) < \alpha(x)$$

and

$$(2)\quad d_k(h_1(z, x), h(z, x)) \leq \lambda\alpha(x) < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Let $q < \min\{r, \dfrac{\delta}{2}\}$, where r is the positive number $\inf\{\alpha(x) - d_k(h_1(z, x), g_0(x)) : (z, x) \in \mathbb{I}^m \times f^{-1}(y)\}$. Since $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq n$, by Lemma 2.5 (applied to the product $\mathbb{I}^m \times f^{-1}(y)$), there is a map $h_2 \in C(\mathbb{I}^m \times f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ such that $d_k(h_2(z, x), h_1(z, x)) < q$ and $h_2|(\{z\} \times f^{-1}(y))$ is an ω-map for each $(z, x) \in \mathbb{I}^m \times f^{-1}(y)$. Then, by (1) and (2), for all $(z, x) \in \mathbb{I}^m \times f^{-1}(y)$ we have

$$(3)\quad d_k(h_2(z, x), h(z, x)) < \delta \text{ and } d_k(h_2(z, x), g_0(x)) < \alpha(x).$$

Because both $\mathbb{I}^m$ and $f^{-1}(y)$ are compact, $u_2(z)(x) = h_2(z, x)$ defines the map $u_2\colon \mathbb{I}^m \to C(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$. The required map v will be obtained as a lifting of u_2. Let $\pi\colon \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \to C(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ denote the restriction map $\pi(g) = g|f^{-1}(y)$. As in the proof of [20, Lemma 2.8], we can see that $u_2(z) \in \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha))$ for every $z \in \mathbb{I}^m$ and $\theta(z) = \overline{\pi^{-1}(u_2(z)) \cap B_\delta(u(z))}$ defines a convex-valued map from $\mathbb{I}^m$ into $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ which is lower semi-continuous. By the Michael selection theorem [12, Theorem 3.2"], θ has a continuous selection $v\colon \mathbb{I}^m \to C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$. Then v maps $\mathbb{I}^m$ into $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ and v is δ-close to u. Moreover, for any $z \in \mathbb{I}^m$ we have $\pi(v(z)) = u_2(z)$ and $u_2(z)$, being the restriction $h_2|(\{z\} \times f^{-1}(y))$, is an ω-map. Hence, $v(z) \in C_\omega(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$, $z \in \mathbb{I}^m$, i.e. $v\colon \mathbb{I}^m \to \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \backslash \psi_\omega(y)$. □

Lemma 2.7. *The set $C_\omega(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that, for fixed $g_0 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ and a positive continuous function $\alpha\colon X \to (0, \infty)$, there exists $g \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap C_\omega(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$. The space $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ with the uniform convergence topology is a closed convex subspace of the the Banach space E consisting of all bounded continuous maps from X into $\mathbb{R}^k$. We define the set-valued map ϕ from Y into $C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$, $\phi(y)=\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$, $y\in Y$. According to Lemma 2.6, $\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)\cap\psi_\omega(y)$ is a Z_m-set in $\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$ for every $y\in Y$. So, we have a lower semi-continuous closed and convex-valued map $\phi\colon Y\to 2^E$ and another map $\psi_\omega\colon Y\to 2^E$ with a closed graph (see Lemma 2.4) such that $\phi(y)\cap\psi_\omega(y)$ is a Z_m-set in $\phi(y)$ for each $y\in Y$. Moreover, $\dim Y\leq n$, so we can apply [8, Theorem 1.2] to obtain a continuous map $h\colon Y\to C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$ with $h(y)\in\phi(y)\backslash\psi_\omega(y)$ for every $y\in Y$ (we actually apply the following partial case of [8, Theorem 1.2]: If $\Phi\colon Z\to 2^F$ is a lower semi-continuous closed and convex-valued map from a paracompact space Z with $\dim Z\leq n$ into a Banach space E and $\psi\colon Z\to 2^E$ is a set-valued map with a closed graph such that $\Phi(z)\cap\psi(z)$ is a Z_m-set in $\Phi(z)$ for all $z\in Z$, then there exists a map $q\colon Z\to E$ with $q(z)\in\Phi(z)\backslash\psi(z)$ for each $z\in Z$). Observe that h is a map from Y into $\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$ such that $h(y)\notin\psi_\omega(y)$ for every $y\in Y$, i.e. $h(y)\in\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)\cap C_\omega(X|f^{-1}(y),\mathbb{I}^k)$, $y\in Y$. Then $g(x)=h(f(x))(x)$, $x\in X$, defines a map $g\in\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$ such that $g|f^{-1}(y)=h(y)|f^{-1}(y)$ for all $y\in Y$. Hence, $g\in C_\omega(X|f^{-1}(y),\mathbb{I}^k)$, $y\in Y$. Finally, by virtue of Lemma 2.2, $g\in C_\omega(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first prove Theorem 1.1(i). Fix a map $h\colon X\to\mathbb{I}^\infty$ such that $f\times h$ embeds X into $Y\times\mathbb{I}^\infty$, and let $\omega_i=h^{-1}(\gamma_i)$, where $\{\gamma_i\}$ is a sequence of open covers of $\mathbb{I}^\infty$ with $\mathrm{mesh}(\gamma_i)<2^{-i}$ for every i. Then, by Theorem 2.1, each $C_{\omega_i}(X,\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ is open and dense in $C(X,\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$, so $C_0=\displaystyle\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty}C_{\omega_i}(X,\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ is dense and G_δ in $C(X,\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. According to the choice of ω_i, $C_0\subset\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, any $g\in\mathcal{H}$ belongs to C_0. Therefore, $C_0=\mathcal{H}$ and we are done.

The proof of Theorem 1.1(ii) follows the same scheme as that one of (i). The only difference is that, instead of Theorem 2.1, we use the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f\colon X\to Y$ be a perfect surjection such that Y is a paracompact C-space . Then, for every $\omega\in cov(X)$, the set of all $g\in C(X,\mathbb{I}^\infty)$ with $f\times g$ being an ω-map is open and dense in $C(X,\mathbb{I}^\infty)$.*

To prove Proposition 3.1, we fix an $\omega\in cov(X)$, an embedding of $\mathbb{I}^\infty$ in l_2 as a closed convex subset and let d_∞ denote the metric on $\mathbb{I}^\infty$ generated by the norm of l_2. Following the proof of Theorem 2.1, we show how to modify the hypotheses and the proofs of Lemmas 2.2 - 2.7. One general modification is to replace everywhere the metric d_k by d_∞ and both $\mathbb{I}^m$ and $\mathbb{I}^k$ by $\mathbb{I}^\infty$. With this general correction, the modified versions of Lemmas 2.2 - 2.4 remain valid. The modification of Lemma 2.5 should read as follows: Let K be a compact space. Then, for every $\gamma\in cov(K)$, the set of all maps $g\in C(\mathbb{I}^\infty\times K,\mathbb{I}^\infty)$ with each

$g|(\{z\} \times K)$, $z \in \mathbb{I}^\infty$, being a γ-map is dense in $C(\mathbb{I}^\infty \times K, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$. In the proof of this new version of Lemma 2.5 we need the following corrections (except the general one): to produce the map g in the case when K is metrizable, choose g to be an embedding of $\mathbb{I}^\infty \times K$ into $\mathbb{I}^\infty$ which is ϵ-close to g_0; when K is not metrizable, we omit the restriction K_β to be at most n-dimensional. In Lemma 2.6 (hypothesis and the proof) we need to replace Z_m-set by Z-set. The new version of Lemma 2.7 is: The set $C_\omega(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$. Here is a sketch of the modified proof: For given $\alpha\colon X \to (0,\infty)$ and $g_0 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$ we define $\phi\colon X \to 2^E$ to be the constant map $\phi(y) = \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$, where E is now the Banach space of all bounded maps from X into l_2. Then, according to the modified versions of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6, ψ_ω has a closed graph and $\phi(y) \cap \psi_\omega(y)$ is a Z-set in $\phi(y)$ for every $y \in Y$. Next, apply [7, Theorem 1.1] to obtain a map $h\colon Y \to C(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$ with $h(y) \in \phi(y) \backslash \psi_\omega(y)$, $y \in Y$. Finally, the required map $g \in C_\omega(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$ is defined by $g(x) = h(f(x)(x)$.

4. SOME APPLICATIONS

Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a map and $B \subset X$ an F_σ-subset of X. We say that the restriction $f|B$ is σ-perfect with a countable weight if B is the union of closed subsets $B_i \subset X$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that all restrictions $f|B_i\colon B_i \to f(B_i)$ are perfect maps with countable weight and $f(B_i) \subset Y$ closed. Our first application is the following generalization of [2, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5] (following the notations of Proposition 4.1 below, it was shown in [2] that the sets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ are non-empty in the more restrictive situation when both X and Y are separable metric spaces and B is σ-compact).

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a surjective map between paracompact spaces and $B \subset X$ an F_σ-set. Suppose $f|B$ is σ-perfect with countable weight such that* $\dim(f|B) \le n$ *and* $\dim f(B) \le m$. *Then we have:*

- (i) *The set $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ with $(f \times g)|B$ being one-to-one is dense and G_δ in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$.*
- (ii) *The set $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ of all $g = (g_1, g_2, ..,) \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$ with $f \times g_{i_1} \times .... \times g_{i_{2n+1+m}}$ being one-to-one on B for any $2n+1+m$ distinct integers $i_1, ..., i_{2n+1+m}$ is dense and G_δ in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty)$.*

Proof. Let $B = \cup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$ such that, for each i, $B_i \subset X$, $f(B_i) \subset Y$ are closed sets and $f_i = f|B_i$ is a perfect map with countable weight. Since $\dim(f_i) \le n$, $\dim f(B_i) \le m$ and both B_i and $f(B_i)$ are paracompact, by Theorem 1.1(i), every set $\mathcal{H}_i = \{g \in C(B_i, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}) : f_i \times g \text{ is an embedding}\}$ is dense and G_δ in $C(B_i, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. The restriction maps $p_i\colon C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}) \to C(B_i, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$, $p_i(g) = g|B_i$, are open and continuous surjections. Hence, all sets $p^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ are dense and G_δ in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$, so is $\mathcal{A} = \cap_{i=1}^\infty p^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_i)$.

To prove (ii), for any set Γ of $2n+1+m$ distinct integers $i_1,...,i_{2n+1+m}$ we represent $\mathbb{I}^\infty$ as the product $\mathbb{I}^\Gamma \times \mathbb{I}^{N\backslash\Gamma}$. It is easy to show that $C(X,\mathbb{I}^\infty)$ is homeomorphic to $C(X,\mathbb{I}^\Gamma)\times C(X,\mathbb{I}^{N\backslash\Gamma})$. Let π_Γ denote the projection from $C(X,\mathbb{I}^\infty)$ onto $C(X,\mathbb{I}^\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma = \{g \in C(X,\mathbb{I}^\Gamma) : (f\times g)|B$ is one-to-one $\}$. Then, by (i), each $\pi_\Gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$ is dense and G_δ in $C(X,\mathbb{I}^\infty)$. Since $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is the intersection of all $\pi_\Gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$, we are done. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $H=\cup_{i=1}^\infty H_i$ be an n-dimensional subset of the paracompact space X with each $H_i\subset X$ closed. Suppose E is a Banach space and $Y\subset E$ a closed convex subset. Then, for every σZ_n-set K in Y, the set of all $g\in C(X,Y)$ with $g(H)\cap K=\emptyset$ is dense and G_δ in $C(X,Y)$.*

Proof. Since the restriction maps from $C(X,Y)$ into $C(H_i,Y)$ are continuous and open, it suffices to show that, for any i, the set $\mathcal{G}_i$ of all $g\in C(H_i,Y)$ with $g(H_i)\cap K=\emptyset$ is dense and G_δ in $C(H_i,Y)$. Let $K=\cup_{j=1}^\infty K_j$, where each K_j is a closed Z_n-subset of Y, and $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ consist of all $g\in C(H_i,Y)$ with $g(H_i)\cap K_j=\emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{G}_i=\cap_{j=1}^\infty \mathcal{G}_{ij}$. Therefore, our proof is further reduced to the proof that $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ is open and dense in $C(H_i,Y)$ for any i and j. Finally, observe that, for fixed $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$, we can assume $H_i=X$ and $K_j=K$. Under this assumption, we are going now to show that the set $U=\{g\in C(X,Y): g(X)\cap K=\emptyset\}$ is open and dense in $C(X,Y)$.

Suppose $g_0\in U$ and let $\alpha(x)=2^{-1}d(g_0(x),K)$, where d is the metric on Y generated by the norm of E. Then $\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)\subset U$, so U is open in $C(X,Y)$. To show that U is dense, take arbitrary $h\in C(X,Y)$ and $\alpha\in C(X,(0,\infty))$ and consider the set-valued map $\phi\colon X\to 2^Y$, $\phi(x)=\overline{B}_{\alpha(x)}(h(x))$. Then ϕ is lower semi-continuous with closed and convex values. Moreover, since K is a Z_n-set in Y, $K\cap B_{\alpha(x)}(h(x))$ is a Z_n-set in $B_{\alpha(x)}(h(x))$, $x\in X$. This implies that $\phi(x)\cap K$ is a Z_n-set in $\phi(x)$ for every $x\in X$ (see, for example, [7, Lemma 2.3]). Therefore, we can apply [8, Theorem 1.2] to obtain a map $g\colon X\to Y$ such that $g(x)\in\phi(x)\backslash K$ for all x. Hence, $g\in U\cap\overline{B}(g,\alpha)$ which shows that U is dense in $C(X,Y)$. □

Let N_{n+m}^{2n+1+m} denote the set of all points from $\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$ having at most $n+m$ rational coordinates. It is well known that the compliment of N_{n+m}^{2n+1+m} in $\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$ is the union of countably many n-dimensional subspaces M_i with each M_i being a Z_{n+m}-set in $\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$. Moreover, if X is a paracompact space of dimension $\dim X\le n+m$, according to Lemma 4.2, each of the sets $\mathcal{M}_i=\{g\in C(X,\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}) : g(X)\cap M_i=\emptyset\}$ is open and dense in $C(X,\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. This implies the following generalization of the Nöbeling-Pontryagin-Lefschetz theorem: In the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1(i), the set $\mathcal{N}$ of all $g\in\mathcal{H}$ such that $g(X)\subset N_{m+n}^{2n+1+m}$ is dense and G_δ in $C(X,\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. Indeed, by the generalized Hurewicz theorem [19], $\dim X\le n+m$, so the sets $\mathcal{M}_i$ defined above are open and dense in $C(X,\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. Then $\mathcal{N}$, being the intersection of all $\mathcal{M}_i$ and $\mathcal{H}$, is dense and G_δ in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. But we can prove a stronger result, which generalizes a theorem due to J. Roberts [4] as well. Below, by a (rational) k-plane in $\mathbb{R}^p$ we mean a subspace of the form $\{(x_1, .., x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p : x_{i_j} = r_j, j = 1, .., p-k\}$, where $\{i_1, .., i_{p-k}\}$ is a subset of $\{1, .., p\}$ and r_j, $j = 1, .., p-k$, are fixed (rational) numbers. Obviously, every k-plane is a k-dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^p$.

Proposition 4.3. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem* 1.1(*i*), $\mathcal{H}$ *contains a dense* G_δ*-subset* $\mathcal{B}$ *of* $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ *such that every* $g \in \mathcal{B}$ *satisfies the following condition* (*R*)*:* $\dim g(f^{-1}(y)) \cap \Pi \leq k-n-1$ *for any* $y \in Y$ *and any rational* k*-plane* Π *in* $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1+m}$ *with* $0 \leq k \leq 2n$*.*

Proof. By [18], there exists a map $h \colon X \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^n$ such that $f \times h$ is 0-dimensional. Take a cover $\{D_i : i = 1, .., n+1\}$ of $\mathbb{I}^n$ consisting of 0-dimensional G_δ-sets and let $A_i = (f \times h)^{-1}(Y \times D_i)$. Obviously, each A_i is G_δ in X and $X = \cup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i$. Moreover, according to the choice of h, all restrictions $f|A_i$ are 0-dimensional. Now, for any $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$ consider the set $B_k = X \backslash (A_1 \cup ... \cup A_{k-n})$. Then $B_k \subset A_{k-n+1} \cup ... \cup A_{n+1}$ which implies $\dim f^{-1}(y) \cap B_k \leq 2n-k$ for every $y \in Y$ (recall that each $f|A_i$ is 0-dimensional). On other hand, B_k is F_σ in X, so is $f(B_k)$ in Y. Consequently, $\dim f(B_k) \leq m$. Applying the generalized Hurewicz theorem to the σ-perfect map $f|B_k$, we conclude that $\dim B_k \leq m + 2n - k$. If $0 \leq k \leq n$, we denote $B_k = X$. Then $\dim B_k \leq m + n \leq m + 2n - k$ (by the generalized Hurewicz theorem). Hence, for any $k = 0, 1, .., 2n$ we have $\dim B_k \leq m + 2n - k$.

Next, let $\Pi(k)$ be the union of all rational k-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1+m}$. Since any k-plane Π is a Z_{m+2n-k}-set in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1+m}$, so is $\Pi \cap \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$ in $\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$. Hence, $\Pi(k) \cap \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$ is a σZ_{m+2n-k}-set in $\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$. Then, by Lemma 4.2, each of the sets $\mathcal{B}_k = \{g \in C(X, , \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}) : g(B_k) \cap \Pi(k) = \emptyset\}$, $k = 0, 1, ..., 2n$, is dense and G_δ in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. Therefore, the intersection $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and all $\mathcal{B}_k$, $0 \leq k \leq 2n$, is dense and G_δ in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. It remains only to show that every $g \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies the condition (R). This holds if $0 \leq k \leq n$ because $g \in \mathcal{B}_k$, so $g(X)$ doesn't meet any rational k-plane. If $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$, then $g \in \mathcal{H}$ implies that g embeds every fiber $f^{-1}(y)$, $y \in Y$, in $\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$. On the other hand, $g(B_k) \cap \Pi(k) = \emptyset$, so $g(f^{-1}(y)) \cap \Pi(k)$ is homeomorphic to a subset of $f^{-1}(y) \backslash B_k$. Since $f^{-1}(y) \backslash B_k$ is contained in $f^{-1}(y) \cap (A_1 \cup ... \cup A_{k-n})$ and the last set is a separable metric space of dimension $\leq k-n-1$ (recall that each $f^{-1}(y) \cap A_i$ is 0-dimensional), we have $\dim g(f^{-1}(y)) \cap \Pi(k) \leq k-n-1$. Consequently, $\dim g(f^{-1}(y)) \cap \Pi \leq k-n-1$ for any rational k-plane Π. □

We now consider the case when the map f from Theorem 1.1 is closed but not necessary perfect.

Proposition 4.4. *Let* $f \colon X \to Y$ *be a closed* n*-dimensional surjection of countable weight such that* X *is normal and* Y *a paracompact* m*-dimensional space.*

Then all maps $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ *such that* $f \times g$ *is an embedding and* g *satisfies condition* (R) *from Proposition* 4.3 *form a dense subset in* $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ *with respect to the uniform convergence topology.*

Proof. We fix a map $h\colon X \to \mathbb{I}^\infty$ such that $f \times h$ is an embedding (recall that f is of countable weight) and a sequence $\{\gamma_i\}$ of open covers of $\mathbb{I}^\infty$ with $\mathrm{mesh}(\gamma_i) < 2^{-i}$. We also consider the Čech-Stone extension βf of f and the space $Z = (\beta f)^{-1}(Y)$. Then Z is paracompact (as a perfect preimage of Y) and, since X is normal, $\bar{f}\colon Z \to Y$ is a perfect n-dimensional map. Using this fact, as in the proof of Proposition 4.3, we cover Z by G_δ-sets $A_1, .., A_{n+1}$ with each $\bar{f}|A_i$ being 0-dimensional, and construct the sets B_k for every $0 \leq k \leq 2n$. Then, all sets $\mathcal{B}_k = \{g \in C(Z, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}) : g(B_k) \cap \Pi(k) = \emptyset\}$, $k = 0, 1, ..., 2n$, are dense and G_δ in $C(Z, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$. Next, consider the extension $\bar{h}\colon Z \to \mathbb{I}^\infty$ of h and the covers $\omega_i = \bar{h}^{-1}(\gamma_i) \in cov(Z)$. By Theorem 2.1, $C_{\omega_i}(Z, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ are dense and G_δ-sets in $C(Z, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$, so is the intersection $\mathcal{B}$ of all $C_{\omega_i}(Z, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ and $\mathcal{B}_k$. Then, the set $\mathcal{Q} = \{g|X : g \in \mathcal{B}\}$ may not be dense and G_δ in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$, but it is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m})$ with respect to the uniform convergence topology generated by the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{I}^{2n+1+m}$. Moreover, it follows from the choice of the coves ω_i that every $f \times q$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, is an embedding. Finally, as in Proposition 4.3, one can show that all $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ satisfy condition (R). □

References

[1] D. Addis and J. Gresham, *A class of infinite-dimensional spaces. Part I: Dimension theory and Alexandroff's Problem*, Fund. Math. **101** (1978), 195–205.

[2] S. Bogatyi, V. Fedorchuk and J. van Mill, *On mappings of compact spaces into Cartesian spaces*, Topology and Appl. **107** (2000), 13–24.

[3] A. Chigogidze, *Inverse Spectra*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1996.

[4] J. Roberts, *A theorem on dimension*, Duke Math. J. **8** (1941), 565–574.

[5] S. Eilenberg, *Remarque sur un theorem de W. Hurewicz*, Fund. Math. **24** (1935), 156–159.

[6] R. Engelking, *Theory of Dimensions: Finite and Infinite*, Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 1995.

[7] V. Gutev and V. Valov, *Continuous selections and C-spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **130, 1** (2002), 233–242.

[8] ———, ———, *Dense families of selections and finite-dimensional spaces*, Preprint arXiv:math.GN/0111254 v1 (2001).

[9] V. L. Hansen, Embedding finite covering spaces into trivial vector bundles, Math. Ann. **236** (1978), 239–410.

[10] W. Haver, *A covering property for metric spaces*, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 375, Topology Conference at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, March 22-29, 1973, Ray Dickman, Jr. and Peter Fletcher editors, Springer Verlag, New York 1974.

[11] N. Krikorian, *A note concerning the fine topology on function spaces*, Compos. Math. **21** (1969), 343–348.

[12] E. Michael, *Continuous selections I*, Ann. of Math. **63** (1956), 361–382.

[13] ———, *Selected selection theorems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **63** (1956), 233–238.

[14] J. van Mill, Infinite-Dimensional Topology. Prerequisites and Introduction, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.

[15] J. Munkers, *Topology* (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NY, 1975).

[16] B. Pasynkov, *On universal compact spaces of given dimension*, Fund. Math. **60** (1967), 285–308.

[17] ______, *On geometry of continuous maps of finite-dimensional compact metric spaces*, Trudy Steklov Mat. Inst. **212** (1996), 147–172 (in Russian).

[18] ______, *On geometry of continuous maps of countable functional weight*, Fundam. Prikl. Matematika **4, 1** (1998), 155–164 (in Russian).

[19] E. Skljarenko, *A theorem on dimension-lowering mappings*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Math. **10** (1962), 429–432 (in Russian).

[20] H.M. Tuncali and V. Valov, *On dimensionally restricted maps*, Fund. Math., to appear.

Department of Mathematics, Nipissing Universitywan, 100 College Drive, P.O. Box 5002, North Bay, ON, P1B 8L7, Canada
E-mail address: `muratt@nipissingu.ca`

Department of Mathematics, Nipissing University, 100 College Drive, P.O. Box 5002, North Bay, ON, P1B 8L7, Canada
E-mail address: `veskov@nipissingu.ca`